



SUNNY with Algorithm Configuration

Tong Liu, Roberto Amadini, Jacopo Mauro

► To cite this version:

Tong Liu, Roberto Amadini, Jacopo Mauro. SUNNY with Algorithm Configuration. OASC 2017 - Open Algorithm Selection Challenge, Sep 2017, Brussels, Belgium. pp.12-14. hal-01674691

HAL Id: hal-01674691

<https://inria.hal.science/hal-01674691>

Submitted on 3 Jan 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

SUNNY with Algorithm Configuration

Tong Liu

University of Bologna, Italy

T.LIU@UNIBO.IT

Roberto Amadini

University of Melbourne, Australia

ROBERTO.AMADINI@UNIMELB.EDU.AU

Jacopo Mauro

University of Oslo, Norway

MAURO.JACOPO@GMAIL.COM

Editors: Marius Lindauer, Jan N. van Rijn and Lars Kotthoff

Abstract

The SUNNY algorithm is a portfolio technique originally tailored for Constraint Satisfaction Problems (CSPs). SUNNY allows to select a set of solvers to be run on a given CSP, and was proven to be effective in the MiniZinc Challenge, i.e., the yearly international competition for CP solvers. In 2015, SUNNY was compared with other solver selectors in the first ICON Challenge on algorithm selection with less satisfactory performance. In this paper we briefly describe the new version of the SUNNY approach for algorithm selection, that was submitted to the first Open Algorithm Selection Challenge.

1. SUNNY-OASC

SUNNY is a per instance algorithm scheduling strategy based on k -NN algorithm. Roughly speaking, for each test instance SUNNY selects k training instances which are similar to the test instance in terms of Euclidean Distance (on instance features). Based on the selected instances, SUNNY generates a schedule of solvers that maximize the number of instances solved by the selected solvers. Then, a time slot proportional to the fraction of solved instances is assigned to each solver. Finally, the proposed solvers are ordered according to the average solving time on the selected instances.

In the OASC challenge, there were also several scenarios where the goal was maximization instead of satisfaction. For these problems we used an experimental approach: straightforwardly, after selecting the k neighbourhood instances, we picked only one solver which achieves the highest accumulated solution score on the k instances. Note that this is not the default approach used by SUNNY for constraint optimization problems ([Amadini et al., 2015b, 2016](#)).

For a detailed description of the SUNNY approach we refer the interested reader to [Amadini et al. \(2014, 2015b, 2016\)](#). In the following we present SUNNY-OASC, an extension of the original SUNNY algorithm that enables the configuration of the neighborhood size k (an idea borrowed from [Lindauer et al. \(2016\)](#)) and a wrapper-based feature selection.

1.1. Execution modalities

SUNNY-OASC has two execution modalities: `autok` and `fkvar`.

- The **autok** approach is a variant of T-SUNNY (Lindauer et al., 2016), an improvement of SUNNY-AS that trains also on the size of the neighborhood k . **autok** is slightly different than T-SUNNY since the reimplementation of T-SUNNY used a different algorithm to select the solvers to use. To choose the solvers we used the original SUNNY-AS algorithm instead.
- The **fkvar** trains both on the neighborhood size and the subset of features to consider by using a wrapper method (Kohavi and John, 1997). SUNNY is used as the evaluator and a greedy forward selection is adopted to select the subset of features for computing the neighborhood.

The selection procedure is defined as follow: the unselected feature set is considered and we pick one feature at time, adding it to the selected feature set (initially empty) to form a test feature set. By also tuning the value k , SUNNY calculates the best PAR10 score that it can achieve with the test feature set. Based on the outcome, a new feature is added until the performance decrease or we have performed a given number of evaluations. Finally, **fkvar** produces a combination of features and a value k for which SUNNY performs the best on training data.

When the selection procedure ends, we also run SUNNY in **autok** modality. This is helpful for scenarios where the whole set of features is more relevant than the feature set selected by using the wrapper filtering method. In these cases, we simply use the setting computed by **autok**.

1.2. Representative instances

Since training is computationally expensive and may take a long time,¹ SUNNY-OASC is not used on all the instances available but only on some selected ones. The representative instances used for the training are selected as follows: (i) SUNNY-OASC first associates to each training instance the fastest solver for solving it, according to the training set; (ii) for each solver, instances are ordered from hard to easy in terms of runtime; (iii) for each solver, one instance at a time is picked until a global limit on the number of representative instances is reached.

1.3. Parameters for the Challenge

Bischl et al. (2016) and Amadini et al. (2015a) noted that a handful subset of features (e.g., 5 or less) is often enough for SUNNY to obtain competitive performance. For this reason, in **fkvar** we fixed 5 as the number of feature to select. In order to guarantee an acceptable execution runtime, for the **fkvar** approach we consider only 1500 instances to be included in the representative instance set. We also fixed k to vary between 3 and 30.

When **fkvar** is executed, we also run **autok** with $k \in [3, 80]$ as a backup. If SUNNY runs better with the entire feature set, we then use the result produced by **autok**.

For the **autok** version submitted, different to the one used when running SUNNY-OASC in the **fkvar** modality, we consider the full training set as effective training data.

1. On a PC with Intel Core i5 and 8 GB RAM running Ubuntu, training only one fold out of 10 of the ASLib scenario PROTUS (4,000 instances) would take for instance 35 hours using the **fkvar** approach.

2. Setup Instructions

The source code of SUNNY-OACS is available at [Liu \(2017\)](#) and requires Python v2. There are five folders: ‘data’ and ‘results’ contain oasc-challenge data and solution results respectively, ‘src’ contains the original SUNNY-AS scripts from [Amadini and Mauro \(2015\)](#), ‘oasc’ contains scripts that coordinate those in ‘src’ for training and testing, the folder ‘main’ contains the scripts that automatically call ‘oasc’ for the different execution modalities.

The program runs training and testing in sequence. Let us take `autok` approach as execution example. To run it, in the folder ‘main’ the command `sh make_oasc_tasks.sh > tasks.txt` must be used to create the tasks. Then the training can be done by running `sh oasc_train.sh run_autok tasks.txt`. The test is performed by running `sh make_oasc_tasks.sh > tasks.txt` followed by `sh oasc_test.sh autok tasks.txt`.

To run `fkvar` it is enough to replace `autok` by `fkvar` in the above commands.

References

- R. Amadini and J. Mauro. SUNNY-AS, 2015. Available at <https://github.com/CP-Unibo/sunny-as>.
- R. Amadini, M. Gabbrielli, and J. Mauro. SUNNY: a Lazy Portfolio Approach for Constraint Solving. *TPLP*, 14(4-5):509–524, 2014.
- R. Amadini, F. Biselli, M. Gabbrielli, T. Liu, and J. Mauro. Feature selection for SUNNY: A study on the algorithm selection library. In *Proceedings of the 27th IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence (ICTAI)*, pages 25–32. IEEE Computer Society, 2015a.
- R. Amadini, Gabbrielli M., and J. Mauro. A Multicore Tool for Constraint Solving. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Fourth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI*, pages 232–238, 2015b.
- R. Amadini, M. Gabbrielli, and J. Mauro. Portfolio approaches for constraint optimization problems. *Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence*, 76(1-2):229–246, 2016.
- B. Bischl, P. Kerschke, L. Kotthoff, M. Lindauer, Y. Malitsky, A. Fréchette, H. Hoos, F. Hutter, K. Leyton-Brown, K. Tierney, and J. Vanschoren. Aslib: A benchmark library for algorithm selection. *Artificial Intelligence*, 237:41–58, 2016.
- R. Kohavi and G. H. John. Wrappers for feature subset selection. *Artificial Intelligence*, 97(1):273–324, 1997.
- M. Lindauer, R. Bergdoll, and F. Hutter. An Empirical Study of Per-instance Algorithm Scheduling. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning and Intelligent Optimization (LION)*, volume 10079 of *LNCS*, pages 253–259. Springer, 2016.
- T. Liu. SUNNY-OASC, 2017. Available at <https://github.com/lteu/oasc>.